1	MEMINI CENNI	
1	KEVIN J. SENN SUSAN D. CONDON	
2	ARMSTRONG TEASDALE LLP	
3	Three Embarcadero Center, Ste. 2310 San Francisco, CA 94111-4039	
	San Francisco, CA 94111-4039   Telephone: (415) 433-1500	
4	Facsimile: (415) 433-1508	
5	A D G A A GRAND IVA G GODDOD A TIVOV	
6	Attorneys for Defendant SHOP-VAC CORPORATION	
0	JOHN H. DONBOLI	
7	JL SEAN SLATTERY	
8	DEL MAR LAW GROUP, LLP 322 8 <sup>th</sup> Street, Ste. 101	
	Del Mar, CA 92014	
9	Telephone: (858) 793-6244	
10	Facsimile: (858) 793-6005	
11	Attorneys for Plaintiff RICHARD A. SILBER	
12		
13	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
14	DIGHARD A CHARD I II I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	
	RICHARD A. SILBER, an individual and on behalf of all others similarly situated,	JOINT MOTION FOR EXTENSION
15	of all others similarly studied,	OF TIME TO FILE
16	Plaintiffs,	ANSWER OR OTHERWISE
17	vs.	RESPOND
17		CASE NO. 3:08CV00637-JLS-RBB
18	SHOP-VAC CORPORATION, a Pennsylvania	
19	Corporation, and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive.	
	Defendants.	
20		
21		
22	Plaintiff Richard A. Silber and Defendant Shop	o-Vac Corporation ("Shop-Vac") hereby move
	this Court for an Order granting Shop-Vac an extension of time in which to file an answer or	
23	this Court for all Order granting Shop-vac all extension of time in which to the all answer of	
24	otherwise respond to Plaintiff's Class Action Complaint up to and including April 29, 2008. In	
25	support of this joint motion, the parties present the following.	
26	1. On or about February 25, 2008, Plaintiff Richard A. Silber filed a putative class actio	
27		
	Complaint ("Complaint") in the Superior Court of San Diego County, California, Case No. 37-2008	
28	00078687-CU-BT-CTL, naming Shop-Vac as a Defendant.	

- 2. The summons and Complaint were deemed served on Shop-Vac on March 9, 2008.
- 3. Shop-Vac timely filed a Notice of Removal pursuant to the Class Action Fairness Act of 2005 ("CAFA"), Pub. L. No. 109-2, 119 Stat. 4 (codified in scattered sections of 28 U.S.C.), on April 8, 2008.
- 4. Shop-Vac's current answer or responsive pleading is due five days after notice of removal is filed, which is on or about April 15, 2008. Fed. R. Civ. P. 81(c).
- 5. Shop-Vac requires additional time to answer or otherwise respond to the Complaint.

  Additional time is necessary in order to conduct further investigation and research required to formulate a proper response to Plaintiff's Complaint.
- 6. Shop-Vac respectfully requests an additional two weeks to file its Answer or otherwise respond to Plaintiff's Complaint.
- 7. Undersigned counsel for Shop-Vac has conferred with undersigned counsel for plaintiff, who does not oppose this extension. Shop-Vac has not previously sought an extension of its answer deadline.
- 8. The requested extension of time is not being made for the purpose of delay, but rather is to further the interests of justice.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Richard A. Silber and Defendant Shop-Vac Corporation respectfully pray for an Order granting Shop-Vac an extension of time to answer or otherwise respond to Plaintiff's Complaint up to and including April 29, 2008 and for such other and further relief this Court deems just and proper.

3

Case 3:08-cv-00637-JLS-RBB Document 2 Filed 04/10/2008 Page 3 of 3